

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/273,833	03/22/1999	RYOHEI KUKI	TI-28612	7627
23494 7:	590 01/28/2003			
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			EXAMINER	
			KUMAR, PANKAJ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ø			
Advisory Action	09/273,833	KUKI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Pankaj Kumar	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a simely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in	ed			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee up the final Office action; or (2) as set for	ee nder th in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendm	ent			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	c(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 10,11,16,17,24 and 25.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9, 12-15, 18-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Up 7/18/00						
10. Other:	, .	+ -1 // ~				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

1

Application/Control Number: 09/273,833

Art Unit: 2631

As per applicant's comments regarding the Yamakawa reference, this reference was added at the request of the applicant since the applicant requested a teaching showing various matters. Thus, contrary to applicant's allegation, Yamakawa has been applied to the claims as indicated in the prior office action's response to arguments.

As per comments related to the double patenting rejection and applicant's request to show obviousness from teachings in references, various case laws and physical phenomenons have been cited and as such, the case laws and physical phenomenons are the reference. For example, as per equalization arguments, it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184.

As per detecting from a transducer head, it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184. Also, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material (in this case a transducer head) on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

As per adding a value verses generating a signal in claim 12, generating a signal is adding values in the time/frequency domains thus generating a signal inherently adds a value.

Art Unit: 2631

The teaching of claim 12 can be found in the office's rejection of claim 9 in application 09/229945 which is rejected based on Reed USPN 5961658.

CHI PHAM

lume

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 / 25/03